App. F. IX-1

1928
193-
(Mazrai)

File No. 329-2

Serial Nos. 1 – 14

Previous References

Misc 31 92
49-24-1 2

Later References

Misc 309 32 1-2
" 145-35 1-6.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 14.

Part B for August 33

SUBJECT.

Appeal re the lease of inam lands of Sri Gramadevatas and other Temples at Kudli

---

To the Misc Court
" Comptroller
No 204-5 of S-8 31

1/14

Sanctions the recommendation of the M.C. (2) lease out the lands in question to the present occupants on condition of paying an increased rental of Rs 367-13-5 more than what are paying the Temple

G.R.S. 11] 1928.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 327

FILE HEADING.

Lease of the Inam Lands endowed to Sri Brahmeswara and other temples at Kistli in the Krishna District.

From

Jayatirthachar, Son of Late Sri Subraya Shastry and other temple Upadhivantas.

No. Petitions Dated Received 18/3/29

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
1020 & 1020 (a)	2		1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the M.C's order declining to re-consider his previous order dt 13.9.1928 directing the Sale by auction of the lease of the said Lands, and prays for a Stay order pending the disposal of the appeal or if the Sale has been held, to stay the confirmation of the Sale.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

R

The petitions are duly stamped. A copy of the M.C's order now appealed against has been produced. The M.C's order is dt 15th March 1929, while the appeal petitions were presented on 16.3.29. They are in time.

NOTES—First Sheet

GPB—35 000—15.6.32

1420 A

General and Revenue Secretariat

App. F. III

File No. 329 of 192 8

Serial No.

This Cases relates to the leasing out of the temple Inam Lands in the enjoyment of upadhivarthas. The M.C's present under reference & his previous order dt 13.9.1928, which has not been produced. A perusal of the order shows that it is not a final one. Submit for orders on the prayer of M 18.3.29. The applt for a Commissr

Wbg 1873 3 All the records connected with this case may perhaps be called for.

5657 A [illegible] days time & Confirmation of sale. A [illegible] and already sent prayer for for consideration

(Members) 28 1873

A This may be Orders and records called for

Mr 20/3/29

Draft at once 4.

28 20/3

This Said proceedings

Ch 20/3

Dan 28 20/3

NOTE — Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8 28

Serial No.

2 To Inspr of comrs
No 1889 dt 21-3-29
Forwards letter from Sayanna & others & calls for records on the above & proceedings in case of lands to be sold &c may be stayed &c pending disposal of appeal.

SWSA
W
27/3

3 To Q. H. Jayatheertharao Esqr B.A.B.L. Advocate Shimoga
No 1890 dt 21-3-29.
States that trustee loans have been issued by the M. C. to keep the said estate in a flourishing condition.

From, the M. C., Letter no 1898 dt 8-6-1929.

Submits the report with records called for in the above.

The temples of Sri Brahmeswara Rameswara and Chintamani Narasimha Devara temples at Kudli in the Shimoga Taluk are Inam. endowed with inam lands in 8 villages.

D

The M. C. has ordered the sale by auction, of the lease of the temple lands.

10 The applts. who are temple upadhi. Vaidus have appealed to Govt. they say that the lands have

NOTE – Contn. sheet

Serial No.

been in the possession and enjoyment of their family for a number of years past, and that the names of their ancestors are mentioned in the Inam registers, and pray that their lands may not be taken away and leased out.

It is necessary and desirable to look into the Inam-Registers pertaining to the temple lands in question. There is no information regarding the inam lands, of the temples in the specific enjoyment of the applts. Mr. [illegible] submtr.

26/6/29

Engr 26/6/29

5 [illegible]

C 405-2 19-7-29

[illegible]

Subs. A

19/7

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Mug D. 329-28 Re the case of Kudli temple lands—

1) The member was pleased to enquire orally about this case yesterday.

2) A stay order has been issued in the case, as observed by the member.

3) As soon as the Mdg further report called for on the subject is received, orders for posting the case will be obtained—

Submitted 28/8/29

4. The case is posted & a reminder sent to M.E. upon the [illegible]

[illegible]

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

1507

[illegible]

G R.S. III—31

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

871 6

App. F. III-2]

and Revenue
tariat

Mucz. File No. 3 of 192 9

Serial No.

From, The R C Letter no 1598 dy 31-8-29.

O Submits a Statement of inam lands received with Shimoga D C's Letter dy 23.8.29.

D By This is an appeal against the R C's order directing the Sale by auction, of the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Brahmeswara, Sri Rameswara and Sri Chintaraman Narasimha Swamy temples at Kudli, Shimoga Taluk.

C From a copy of the Notes drawn up by the Muzrai Auditor Mr Subba Rao on 20-3-1928, it will be seen that the affairs of these institns were found to be in a most unsatisfactory Condition which required to be set right immediately - The R C. thereupon addressed a Cir to the D.C, Shimoga dy 27.8.28 conveying detailed instructions in the matter of Sanctioning a Scheme of management for these inams.

(Copy in R C's Office file)

B As a result of this order, the local authorities took steps to lease out the temple lands. The temple Servants who were affected by this order, appealed to the R.C. who declined to interfere with the above order.

D Against the R C's order, Sayanna, temple Sr, Subbaraya Shastry, and others have appealed

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPS—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

(Sl 1)
I

appealed to Govt complaining that property covering has not been held and the Journals, Title-deeds and other documents in their possession, have not been examined and praying for the cancellation of the M.C.'s order directing the sale of the mitam lands in their possession — Appeal grounds Nos 1 to 10 are a copy of those mentioned in the appeal petition to the M.C. while the remaining paras make the Complaints refd to above —

(Stat of Claims) I

2 This case file, if ordered, be posted for hearing —

3 If necessary, the Inam Supdt will be asked to send up orgl Inam Registers pertaining to the Lands before the dated of hearing.

4 In his present Comm No 1641 dt 5.9.28 the M.C. reports that as a result of the Stay order, issued by Govt, the lessee of the mitam lands has been held in abeyance, in consequence of which the Scale of income and expenditure cannot be fixed.

Submitted

The Hon'ble Auditor's note of 20/29 gives a history of the case apart from the details of the allegations to be

WD 01684—G1 000—20.1.29

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mus. File No. 329 App. F. 111–2] of 192 8

Serial No.

Mr Special Sheristedar. Hes. as Sheristedar, Mr Amildar of Mr Talish has also forwarded Mr applns of Mr Inamdars & Mr inam lands Committee Meeting are in a charge condition & he has also made recommendations for Mr leasing of Mr Kasbe & upadhi lands on certain conditions. Mr representation Mat proper enquiries has not been made cannot be accepted. It seems necessary to lease Mr lands and fix Cash remuneration for Mr several services. Mr Inamdars have not been getting proper benefit out of these lands.

If each petitioner has to fight out his Case & if there is any dispute in regard to his particular Claim.

A | The Case will be posted for hearing - details regarding inam lands can be and from Mr several statements furnished by Mr Special Sheristedar Copies of detailed inam registers in

B | regard to each land is required they will have to be called for

29/10/Remarks

28 A and B Yes

11/5/25

Mus

12-7-28

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

12/7

4948/1912

Serial No.

35 This case was heard on two days, viz., 17th and 18th February 1930.

The Appellants were represented by their Counsel Mr. Jayathirthachar.

O r d e r.

36. The representation of the Appellants relates to four classes of lands:-

1) Kutley lands of the temples;
2) Kutley lands of the temples stated to have been sold;
3) Lands of the Upadhivantas of the temples;
and 4) Upadhi lands stated to have been sold.

37 The lands coming under Class No. 1) form the Devadaya inams of the temples concerned and there can be no right of permanent tenancy in respect of them. It is reported that these lands are being enjoyed by tenants on payment of very low rents and that the revenues of the temples from this source are capable of considerable improvement. The decision of the Muzrai Commissioner that the right of cultivating these lands should be leased out with a view to increase the income of the institutions is in order and further action may be taken to give effect to it.

38 If ~~there were~~ any sales ~~of~~ of the lands coming under class 2), have been made they were unwarranted as no alienation of Devadaya Inam lands is permissible. The alleged purchasers who are reported

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

reported to be paying rent to the temples concerned in respect of lands held by them can only be considered as lessees and they cannot set up any right of possession adverse to the temples. The direction, therefore of the Muzrai Commissioner that these lands should be leased out for improving the revenues of the temples is in order and further action may be taken to give effect to it.

39 The Cases of lands coming under classes 3) and 4) are reserved for separate consideration. and, in the meantime the Stay order issued will stand. Mudr 12.10

40. In forwarding to the Muzrai Commissioner a copy of the above order, it is necessary to address him in regard to the disposal of cases coming under classes 3) and 4). The Lawyer for the Appellants drew attention to the fact that Upadhivantas are mentioned in the Inam Settlement Register as co-sharers with the temples and that their shares of the lands are also specified in the ~~Inam~~ Register. He ~~xx~~ has also produced a title deed held by one of the Upadhivantas in which the ~~title~~ right of the latter and his heirs to the enjoyment of the land is recognised on condition of continuance of the service to be rendered by him and his heirs. This title deed may be sent to the Muzrai Commissioner for reference and return. The Inam Register sheets which were obtained from the Superintendent, Revenue Survey & Settlement ~~in Mysore~~ and which relate

^ in respect of these

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21 8 28

Serial No.

relate to some of the cases now under consideration, show that the Upadhivantas are recognised as co-sharers. How the Inams of Upadhivantas should be treated, has to be settled. The entries in Inam Registers relating to the lands held by them indicate that they have a right to the lands so long as they perform the services required of them. It is claimed by the Appellants that they are performing the services which they have to render, according to the term of the Inam grant. Whether they are or are not performing such services, is a point of fact which has to be ascertained by enquiry in each individual case. No such enquiry appears to have been held and there are therefore no findings for deciding whether the contention of Upadhivantas that they are rendering the services required of them, is tenable or not. Cases of non-performance of services have to be dealt with on their merits, with reference, among other things, to the periods of neglect and the circumstances urged in its extenuation. The Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 266 dated 17th November 1877 provides that all Inam lands granted for the maintenances of persons rendering service in religious and charitable institutions should be continued to them so long as the conditions of the grants are duly fulfilled. In view of this order it is necessary to consider whether it would be justifiable to declare that

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

223
1248

(14

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 329 of 1928

Serial No.

Subd with drafts. ~~(illegible)~~ for Consideration.

Cp 21/3

12466 27/3

11 — To the Mlg Comr No. 20/4 g. 2-4-30

State that the orders passed by the Mlg Comr re Kattle lands of the Tirupparankunram temple Court not be interfered with and what action may be taken to give effect to it.

12 — To the Mlg Comr No. [illegible] g. d. 4-30

Calls for some ~~additional~~ additional information re encroachments on lands in the stay order given in case of lands will transd.

Sus. A

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—80,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 329 of 1931

Serial No.	
	Letter no 499/29-30 dt 13.4.1931 from the Muzrai Commissioner stating that the parties in possession of the Katle lands belonging to the temples at Kudli Shimoga Taluk have been paying a rent of Rs 1471-6-4 and that as per proposed arrangements there will be an increase of Rs 367-13-5 and recommending sanction to lease out the said lands to the present holders for a period of 15 years.
This matter attended to	4) Reports called for in this office letter no 20150 dt 2.4.30 re: The lands of the Adhivanthas of the temple is awaited 5) The present proposal is in respect of the Katle lands of the temple in question. As seen from para 4 of the enclosure the P.W.D. The tenants have agreed to pay at the rate of 4 as per Rupee more. Thereby there is an increased income by Rs 367-13-5 to the Institutions. The recommendation of the M.C. is for orders
	(Sd illegible 24/7/31. H. Seeg)
	May be sanctioned (1st member)

NOTE—Contn Sheet

W.D 02553—G.P.B—50,000—8.7.30

File No. of 198 General and R Secretariat

S-S Two O/Hs Rtd
1/8/31

Issue
3.8.31

No 206 of 5.8.31 from Muzaffarpur Commissioner calling for report
Called for in this office letter no
2015 of 2.4.30.
C-o no 204-S of 27.8.31
Sanctioning the recommendation of the Muzaffarpur Commissioner in Serial 13.

14

(Two)
Please verify the amount referred to in the letter quoted above & in the margin for
they are with you
Before sending Rtd

28/8

Dhan Singh
Sub-Inspector
No 2015

Amount
Rs 100-0-0
from Mr. Dhan 24.30
Refund of the same in
Treasury Challan
No 5
dated 20.8.31

Sus
20/8/31

M323. 18

Mz 329-98-8-

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

APPELLANTS:- 1. Sayanna, son of Timmanna.
2. Subraya Sastri, son of Venkatarama Sastri.
3. Easwara Sastri, son of Venkataramana Sastri.
4. Ranga Bhatta, son of Hari Bhatta.
5. Krishna Bhatta, son of Tirakam Bhatta.
6. Duggi Rama Bhatta, son of Shama Bhatta, also guardian of Satyanarayana Bhatta.
7. Viswanatha Sastri, son of Sankara Sastri.
8. Anantavadhani, son of Venkataramanavadhani.
9. Hadagali Subba Sastri, son of Venkataramana Sastri.
10. Devariah, son of Tirakamchatta.
11. Saragur Subba Bhatta.
12. Venkatagiri Bhatta, Guardian of minor Sivaswamy.
13. Shama Sastri, son of Nagasastri.
14. Gurumoorthy Rao, son of Purohit Naresimha Sastri.
15. Manjappa.
16. Shanbhogue Thimmappa.
17. Shama Sastri, son of Sivarama Sastri.
18. Koodli Bheema Char.

The

The Appellants abovenamed most humbly and respectfully beg to prefer this Appeal from the Order of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, refusing to stay the sale of the lands in the possession of the Appellants.

In support of their Appeal, the Appellants rely on the following:--

1. The Petitioners are servants, - Upadhivanthas or Kadim tenants of the Pagodas of Sri Brahmeswara Devaru, Sree Rameswara Devaru, and Sri Chintamani Narasimha Devaru in Koodli, Shimoga Taluk.

2. The Upadhivanthas have been performing service for over hundred years, some of them for hundreds of years.

3. The tenants have been in possession of the lands for varying periods from 30 years to over 100 years.

4. Some others are in adverse possession of the lands paying full Assessment.

5. The Order directing the sale of the lands in the possession of the Petitioners has taken them unawares; no notice has been - issued to them as contemplated by Sec.10 of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions - Regulation.

6. The local Authorities have erred - in

in thinking that the Order of ~~the Hon'ble Court of~~ the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore left no discretion to them and in acting - in contravention of the said Section of the abovementioned Regulation.

7. Some of the Petitioners are in possession of Sanads and Title Deeds.

8. The names of the Petitioners' - ancestors in the case of those who have been able to obtain records, are found in Column 15 of the Inam Register under the head "Names - and Residence of Inamdars and Cosharers."

9. The ~~R~~ local Authorities have erred in summarily attempting to sell the lands without holding any inquiry into the matter.

10. The Petitioners have not all had - time to gather together their records and to present their case.

11. The present Order is prejudicial - to the interests of the Petitioners and spells considerable hardship and injustice to them, as they have been in possession for a long time and spent considerable sums of money for the improvement of the lands.

12. The charge that no servants of the Temple has been performing his duty is not true.

13. No inquiry has been held into t h e claims of the individuals. The Documents in the possession of these Petitioners have not - even

even been perused.

14. The Order of the Muzrai Commissioner is not based upon any judicial enquiry, but only on the Report of the Muzrai Auditor.

15. Though the sale is to take place ~~this very day~~ from the 15th in latter onwards, the Muzrai Commissioner merely orally refused to stay the sale, but would not issue any Endorsement. Late in the evening an order was passed in writing.

16. The Appellants' Advocate and some of the Appellants have been in Bangalore for this purpose ~~since yesterday~~ since 14-3-1929

The Appellants, therefore, most humbly and respectfully pray that the Honourable Government be pleased to direct that the proper forms of Law be adopted and that the procedure prescribed by the Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation be followed; and that the claims of each individual ~~Appellant~~ Upadhivantha or servant or tenant be fully gone into.

The Appellants further pray that the Benign Government of His Highness the Maharaja be pleased, pending the enquiry, to direct the STAY OF SALE in the case of those lands whose sale has not yet been held, and that, in the case of those lands the right of cultivation of which has been sold, the confirmation ~~sale~~ be stayed.

Bangalore,
15th March, 1929. ADVOCATE FOR APPELLANTS.

Mysore commissr Mysore

ar. (No 39/Appeal of 28-29 dated

) of Sayanna and 17 other

servants and upadhivantas of the Sri Brahma-

ara Rameswara & Chintamani Narasimhadevaru

, Kudli, Shimoga D. complaining



taken by the local authorities

in the leare of the temple

their possession and praying

for a stay ~~order~~ of the sale and an

enquiry into their rights under section 10

of the Muzrai Regulation.

Order No. D, ~~Dis~~ 221 ~~28.29~~ of 15th March 1929

Reasons for my order are

given in detail in proceedings No C 910 of 22-23

dated 13 9-1928. Section 10 is not applicable

to the case as it relates to cases of alienation

In the present case the lands are inams

of the temple which are intended to be

enjoyed either by rendering service or

payment of rent or both. It is found that

this has not been done for a series of years.

The action taken is simply to see that the

institution derives full benefit from the

endowment. If the petitioners are prepared

to come forward with definite proposals

so as to make good to the institution

the loss it has sustained by non

default and thems
necessary arrangements to give
concerned its dues, I am prepared
give them an opportunity but I can
entertain any application which is
sistent with the paramount rights of
institution. I see no reason therefore
to reconsider my order. Moreover
appeals are not allowed. Each
should present a separate appli
The application is therefore rejected.

(Sd) Pet. George D'Souza
Mulgrai Commission

"Copy"

23

PEES ೫

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ೩ನೇ ಸೈಷನ್ಸ್ ಜಡ್ಜ್ ~~ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಜಡ್ಜ್~~ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ

ಸನ್ 1928-1929 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಆವರಣ ನಂಬರು

(ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ) ವಾದಿ (ಅಂ ೦ಳೆಂಟು) (ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರ) ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ (ರೆಸ್ಪಾಂಡೆಂಟು)

ರಂಗಾಚಲ್ಲ
ಎಂಬಾಂ ಸ್ಟ್
ತಿ ಶಾಮಾಚಾರ್ಯ
ಸಬ್ ಜಡ್ಜ್

ತಿಪಟೂರಾಗ್ಗಾದಲ್ಲರುವ

ಮು॥ ರಾ॥ ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ ~~ಎಸ್. ರಾಮಸುಬಯ್ಯರವರಿಗೆ, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಬಿ. ಎಲ್.,~~ ಯವರಿಗೆ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಶಾಚಲ್ಲಿ ರಂಗಾಚಲ್ಲ ಸಬ್ ಜಡ್ಜ್ ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮೆ

ಈ ಸೂಟ್‌ಪ್ರೊಮುಲ್ಲ ನನ್ನ ಸರವಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಅಬ್ಜೆರುವಬಲಿಗೂ ಪಾಬರಾಗಿ ಹೊಖುದ್ದಮೆ ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಅರ್ಜಿ ನಗೈರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಎತಾಡಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲು ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡೆಸತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೂ, ರಸ್ಟ್ಟಾಸು ಪಗೈರ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀಟು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸಾಗತಕ್ಕ ದಾವಾಸ್ಟಾಂಪು, ಪ್ರೊಸೆಸಾಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಮುತ್ತು ಡಿಕ್ರಿಹಣ, ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಭತ್ಯ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಇತರ ಎಧವಾದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸೊಲಗು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವೇ ಆಗಲಿ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಇನ್ನಾವ ವಾಯರೇ ಆಗಲಿ ನನಗೆ ೀಸ್ಕರ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಪಾಬರಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸುತ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ಎಷ್ಟೂದಂತ ಖಸ್ಸಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾ 192 ರಂದು

ಕೈ) ರಂಗಾಚಲ್ಲ

ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟವರು
ತಂದೆ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಾಸಸ್ಥಳ (ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಾ)

Accepted

Advocate

ಈ ಸೂಟ್‌ನ್ಯಾಸರಾನನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ವರು ನನಗೆ ಸುಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರು; ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

(ಸಹೀ ಅಧವಾ ಶಾಸ್ತಾಂಪೋಗನ ವಂಸಿ.)

24
GEN. & JUDL. SECRETARIAT
5/8
Mysore 14204
Final

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

APPELLANTS:- 1. Sayanna, son of Timmanna.

2. Subraya Sastri, son of - Venkataramma Sastri.

3. Easwara Sastry, son of Venkataramana Sastri.

4. Ranga Bhatta, son of Hari Bhatta.

5. Krishna Bhatta, son of Tirakambhatta.

6. Rama Bhatta, son of Shama - Bhatta, also Guardian of Satya Narayana Bhatta.

7. Viswanatha Sastri, son of Sankara Sastri.

8. Anantavadhani, son of Venkataramanavadhani.

9. Hadagali Subba Sastri, son of Venkataramana Sastry.

10. Devarayya, son of Tirakam - Bhatta.

11. Saragur Subba Bhatta.

12. Venkatagiri Bhatta, Guardian of minor Sivaswamy.

13. Shama Sastry, son of Nagasastri.

14. Gurumurthy Rao, son of Purohit Narasimha Sastri.

15. Manjappa.

16. Shanbhogue Thimmappa.

17. Shama Sastri, son of Sivarama Sastri.

18. Koodli Bheemachar.

The

The Appellants abovenamed have today preferred an Appeal from the Order of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore refusing to stay the sale of the right of cultivation of the lands in the possession of the Appellants.

Besides the grounds mentioned in their Appeal Petition, the Appellants beg leave to urge the following:--

1. The Order of the Muzrai Commissioner is not only prejudicial but unjust to the Appellants.

2. The even course of cultivation would be disturbed by the Sale and other Proceedings.

3. It will not be difficult for the Government to hold the Sale and take possession at any moment.

The Appellants, THEREFORE, most humoly and respectfully pray that the Benign Government of His Highness the Maharaja be pleased to STAY THE SALE pending the disposal of the Appeal, OR, if the sale has been held, to STAY THE CONFIRMATION OF THE SALE.

Bangalore,
16th March, 1929.

APPELLANTS.

26

T.....

.....

.....

Section Inamgai

File No. 129-28

Serial No. 2

No. 1883 Income file.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1420A

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Inamgai

the 192

To

The Inamgai Camp

via mysore, Bangalore.

Date of issue 21/3/29

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a ~~blank~~ ~~cheque~~ ~~for~~ ~~Rs~~ ~~as~~ ~~advance~~ petition from Sayamuddin, ~~a~~ applicant for grant Vide your order No D, Dis 221/28-29 dated the 15th march 1929 directing the sale by auction of the lease of the Inam lands enclosed to Lesi Brahmasamaya

over

[Note annexed with the reply]

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

and others in
the Shumya Default
has been filed in this
office by Ian Jay Gibbins the
Chief Advocate, for
on behalf of Embra-
mency de Sarby and
others (Crafte Defendt. and Appellants Counsel
Vendors). Now to be so heard
as to with hold further proceedings in the sale of the lands the subject in question or Confirmation of sale proceedings in Case of the lands already sold. Master Order pending hearing of the appeal

The entire records
connected with the Case
may likewise be forwarded
to Court with a typed
Transcript

Haley to Court

C.O. 20/3/29

G—1

CT..... 2f.....

.....

.....

Section Umuzzai

File No. 329 - 28

Serial No. 8

No. ~~1889~~ on file 1890

Diary No. of letter replied to 1420

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Umuzzai

the *192*

To

R. K. Jayatilleke Esqre, B.A., LL.B.
Advocate, Slaviya

Date of issue 21 - 3 - 29

Signed by M. I. K. Sameroon 3/4

4/4

with reference to your application dated the 15th March 1929 appealing on behalf of Sabaragamuwa Sanjiva and others of Kuddi, against the order of the Umuzzai Court dated the ~~15th~~ 15th March 1929

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

directing the sale by
auction of the lease
of the Inam lands
endowed to Sri Rama-
swamy and other temples
at Kudli in the Shimoga
District, I am directed
to state that the
instructions have been
issued to the Deputy
Commr in Mysore to
direct the said order
in abeyance, pending decision
of the appeal.

I have &c

W/2013

H Secy to Govt

RJ,
20/3/13

30

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 6th June 1929.

8

No. 1598 / 28-9 Rw 2427 file

FROM

P.G.D'Souza, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

1901.

To

P.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Rw 1076 / Rw 10 16/29

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No.1089/Muz.329-28-3 dated 20th/21st March 29 forwarding for report with records petition from Sayanna and others appealing against this office order directing the sale by auction of the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Prahmeswara and other temples in the Shimoga Taluk and requesting stay of proceedings in case of lands to be sold or of confirmation of sale proceedings in case of lands already sold, I have the honor to forward herewith two files of vernacular records received with the Shimoga District Deputy Commissioner's letter No. Muz.Ac.615 of 26-27 dated 27-5-29 (copy of which and of its enclosure is herein enclosed)

This office file No.D1 Dis.221 of 28-29 dated 15-3-29 containing the order appealed against, is also enclosed for reference and kind return.

The appeal petition to-gether with its enclosures received with your letter under reference is also herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedeint servant,

MUZRAI COMMISSIONER.

27 dated 27th May 29, from the Deputy Commis' ostrict, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Pangal...
udli temples - Appeal to Govt by Upadhivanthas and Tenants re: Lease of Devadaya lands. 31

With reference to your memo no.15[illegible]/Co-29 dated 2.-3-29 forwarding a copy of the Financial Secretary's letter No. 1dat/Muz.34- dated 20-3-29 re: the above, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No. C.315/22-23 dated .-4-29 together with a file of Vernacular records received from the Treasury Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Shimoga taluk.

2. A detailed report submitted on 13-8-15 by Mr. Ananthayangar, the Special Muz.Sheristedar is enclosed in the records wherein every aspect of the Management of these Muz institutions is dealt with.

3. The appellants before the Government in this case number eight in all. In the letter of the Financial Secretary it has been directed that sale proceedings in case of lands sold or confirmation of sale --- proceedings in case of lands already sold may be stayed pending disposal of the appeal. The similar now solicits instructions as to whether the stay order is to be held applicable to only those lands the holders of which have preferred an appeal to Government or to other similar cases as well since the facts of the case are all similar and pertain to the same institutions. I am of opinion that the stay order does not refer to all lands but only to those who have appealed, since no issue of --- others is in appeal at all.

Another file of Vernacular Records connected with the case is also enclosed for favour of reference and return.

"Copy"

R.A.M[illegible]

yov. For Muzrai Commissioner.

C. 315/22-23 dated 8th April 29 from the Treasury Commissioner in charge of Shimoga Taluk to the Deputy---- ommissioner, Shimoga District.

32

With reference to your memo No. M.A.C.615/26-27 dated 20th September 1928 regarding the fixing of a scale of income and expenditure of Kudli temples, I have the honor to state as follows:-

As regards para 1 of your letter I beg to state that there are 21 Upadhies from 3 temples enjoying 953 acres and 17 gunthas of lands as noted below:-

	No. of Upadhies.	Extent of lands
1. Sri Prahmeswara Temple	14	457-6
2. " Rameswara temple	4	389-33
3 Chintamani Narasimha	3	106-18
	21	953-17.

A detailed statement showing the names of Upadhies and sanctioned --- scale of Inam lands to the respective Upadhies is herein enclosed.

I paid a supprised visit to the temples on 9-3-29 and learnt that several upadhies of the temples do not reside in the village at all, but have been enjoying the inams without doing their services. From local enquires made by me I understand that all these upadhies seem to be not necessary to be continued; but this question will have to be considered a the time of revision of the scales after the income of the institutions has been definitely ascertained for which separate action is being taker.

As regards para 2, viz, leasing out of the Katle and Upadhi lands, needful action is being taken by the Amildar of Shimoga Taluk who has begun the sales from the 16th of last month. In the meanwhile certain Upadhivanthas having preferred an apreal to the Government for staying the sales on grounds of their long enjoyment etc. orders have been received from Government with No. 1889/Muz. 329-28-2 dated 20-3-29 to the effect that the sale proceedings should be stayed pending disposal of the appeal vide your Memo No. Muz.C.1974/28-29 dated 2-4-29. This order has been communicated to the Amildar of Shimoga for needful action and report with the connected records. On receipt of the same from him, they will be submitted.

Regarding the item 3 point viz. the determination of the shares of the temples in the lands of the Belalkatty Village, it is reported that notices have

notices have been issue[illegible] o e concerne[illegible]
regarding the extent of Devadaya and Brahmadaya lands of the em
On receipt of the information a further report will be submitted.

As regards point 4 regarding the introduction of Survey and settle ment into the Jodi village of Mathode, it is understood that the Phut-- Phani work has been completed and the records also have been submitted your office with this office No. C. 211/28-29 dated 29-2-29.

-- --

"Copy"

Rathnaswamy Circar

VSM. For Muzrai Commissioner.

G—1

JECT..... 34

.....

.....

Section Income

File No. 89 - 28

Serial No. 3 --

No. 52 Enc 1 petn and 3 file.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

..... The D. C. .....

Date of issue 9/7/28

Signed by Dr. T. K. Sivanmara Ayr

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 1598 dt 8.6.1928 regarding on the appeal petition of Sayanna and others Re the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Brahmeswara and other temples at Kusti, Shimoga Taluk I am directed to request that you will be so good as to send up a Statement giving particulars

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

Vidyam Sands

in respect of which the present appeal has been filed.

(2) The records are herewith returned for reference & re-submission.

I have etc

Encl

Dy Dir (Judl)

Ms

Z-Office Notes ... 329-28-6.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore... 29th August..... 1928
31

No. 1598/28-9 En-1 file

SEC. SECRETARIAT
2 SEP 1929
MUZRAI

FROM

A. K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A., D 371
~~The~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore*

Muz 329-28-7

31 AUG 1929
7792

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., P.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.52 Muz.329-28-5 dated 6th July 1929 calling for further particulars re the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Brahmeswara and other temples at Yadli, Shimoga Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith the entire file of ---- records together with the statement received with the Shimoga District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.Muz.1 A.C.615/26-27 dated 23rd August 1929.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Ranganarasimha Rajampet.
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from station)

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 4th September 1929.

No. 1441
28-9

FROM

A. K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To
5 SEP 1929
3088

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

MUZRAI
1441
329-28-8

SIR,

With reference to remirder No.490 dated 28th August 1929 -- calling for the report in respect of fixing a scale of income and expenditure for the Kudli institutions in Shimoga taluk, I have the honor to invite reference to correspondence ending with this office letter No.1598 of 28-29 dated 31st August 1929 forwarding the -- entire file of records received from the Deputy Commissioner ------ Shimoga District with his letter No.M z.a.C.615 of 26-27 dated 23rd August 1929 and to state that as the lease of inam lands endowed to the institutions in question has been held in abeyance, the scale of income and expenditure cannot be fixed.

2. I solicit early orders of Government in the matter as the fixing of a suitable scale has been pending since 1919-20.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

F 3—1

CT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Inv 3

File No. 329 - 28

Serial No. 9

No. 684 Income Statement

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

Mr..... Inam Inspr

Date of issue 23/9/28

Signed by Mr L.K. Soorasena Inspr

Sir,

In forwarding herewith in orgl, a Statement received with M.R.S letter no. 1598 dy 31.8.28 giving particulars of the ~~adicating~~ Inam lands endowed to Sri Brahmeswara and other temples at Kadi, Shimoga Taluk, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to send up the orgl Inam Registers pertaining to the grant of inam lands

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

for Archaeological Excavations
and Oriental Research Services
in the Indian Empire, as detailed
in the Statement below.

I have the

honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient

humble Servant,

70.

106

FINANCIAL
9 NOV. 1929
5706

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

Dated, Bangalore, [illegible] *192*9/70

GENL. & REV
11 NOV 1929
0773
MUZRAI.

FROM

D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, ESQ., B.A.,

Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore

To

SIR,



[signature]

4/-

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 14 of 1929-30.

Appellants:

(i) Sayanna. Son of Thimmanna

(ii) Subraya Sastri.

& others.

Respondent

Subject.

Appeal against the order of the Asst. Comm. declining to reconsider his previous order directing the sale by auction of the lease of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Brahmeswara & other temples at Kudli in the Shimoga Taluk.

Order

Here type Members minute. (paras 35 37)

Memo: No: 2014 Dated: 2/4/30

Records

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Asst. Comm. for information & the records in the case with. ref.

GPB—1,000—S&H

Before the Governm ut of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 14 of 1929-30

Appellants Kxx Respondent

Subject.

Appeal of (1) Sayanna, son of Thimmanna (2) Subraya Sastri and others against the order of the Huzrai Commissioner declining to reconsider his previous order directing the sale by auction of the lease of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Brahmeswara and other temples at Kudli in the Shimoga Taluk.

O r d e r .

This case was heard on two days, viz., 17th and 18th February 1930. The Appellants were represented by their Counsel Mr. Jayathirthachar.

The representation of the Appellants relates to four classes of lands:-

1) Kutley lands of the temples;
2) Kutley lands of the temples stated to have been sold
3) Lands of the Upadhivantas of the temples; and
4) Upadhi lands stated to have been sold.

The lands coming under Class No. (1) form the Devadaya Inams of

the temples concerned and there can be no right of permanent Khadim tenancy in respect of them. It is reported that these lands are being enjoyed by tenants on payment of very low rents and that the revenues of the temples from this source are capable of considerable improvement. The decision of the Muzrai Commissioner that the right of cultivating these lands should be leased out with a view to increase the income of the institutions is in order and further action may be taken to give effect to it.

If any sales of the lands coming under class (2) Have been made they were unwarranted as no alienation of Devadaya Inam lands is permissible. The alleged purchasers who are reported to be paying rent to the temples concerned in respect of lands held by them can only be considered as lessees and they cannot set up any right of possession adverse to the temples. The direction, therefore of the Muzrai Commissioner that these lands should be leased out for improving the revenues of the temples is in order and further action may be taken to give effect to it.

The cases of lands coming under classes (3) and (4) are reserved for separate consideration and in the meantime ~~the~~ the stay order issued ~~with~~ in respect of them will stand.

****

Memo No. Jollemy 32 9-Dated, Bangalore, the 1/2nd April 1930
Revenue

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore for information and guidance. The records are herewith returned.

By order,

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

FG—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Mag

File No.

Serial No.

No. 2015/1 T Deed

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Mag: Kurnool.

in Mysore

Date of issue 2/6/30

Signed by Mr. T. L. S Gp

Sir

In Continuation of this office Memo: No: 2014 Mag 329-8-11 Dated 2/4/30 forwarding a copy of order passed in the Mag: Appeal No: 14 of 29-30; I am directed to State that the following points in regard to cases coming under classes 3 and 4 referred to in the above order have to be considered before final orders can be passed thereon and that each individual case may then be separately taken up and dealt with over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by 1/Jul/30

Examined by.....

WD 063—GPB—20,000—1 8-29

The Lawyer for the appellant draw attention

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Mag: Comr:

in Mysore, Bangalore

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Sir,

In Continuation of this office memo: no: dated

Forwarding a Copy of order passed in the Mag: Appeal no: 14 of 29-30, I am directed to request that the following points may be considered before Cases coming under clause (3) and referred to in the order mentioned above, Can be decided

The Lawyer for the Appellant drew attention to

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 063—GPB—20,000—1 8-29

that Upadhivantas are mentioned
in the Inam Settlement Register
as Co-sharers in the temples and
that their shares of the lands are
also specified in the Register. He has
also produced a title deed held by
one of the Upadhivantas in which
the rights of the latter and his
heirs to the enjoyment of the land
is recognised on condition of con-
tinuance of the service to be
rendered by him and his heirs
This title deed, is sent for
reference and return. The
Inam Register sheets which were
obtained from the Superintendent-
Rev: Survey & Settlements and
which relate to some of the Cases
now under Consideration show
that the Upadhivantas are recognised
as Co-sharers. How the Inams of
Upadhivantas should be treated
has to be settled. The entries in
Inam Registers relating to the lands
held by them indicate that they have

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

a right to the lands so long as they perform the services required of them. It is claimed by the Appellants that they are performing the services which they have to render according to the terms of the Inam Grant. Whether they are or are not performing such services, is a point of fact which has to be ascertained by enquiry in each individual case. No such enquiries appear to have been held and there are therefore no findings for deciding whether the contention of Upadhivantas that they are rendering the services required of them is tenable or not.

Cases of non-performance of services have to be dealt with on their merits, with reference, among other

WD 0327—GPB—10,000—18-5-27

the ... of neglect and
the circumstances urged in the
extenuation. The Chief Com's
Notification No: 266 of 17.11.1897
provides that all Inam lands
granted for the maintenance
of persons rendering service
in religious and Charitable
institutions should be continued
to them so long as the conditions
of the grants are duly fulfilled.
In view of this order, it is necessary
to consider whether it would be
justifiable to declare that
some of the Upadhi services
are no longer required and that
accordingly the Upadhivantas
might be deprived of the
lands granted to them. The
present position in regard
to the conditions under which
the Upadhi services are
performed and the connected
lands are held by the Upadhivanta

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

does not appear to be satisfactory. The Upadhivantas should be capable of performing the services required of them and should reside in the places where the temples concerned are situated: and these conditions have to be insisted upon. The Upadhivantas cannot also claim to have the services performed by proxy or to alienate the lands held by them or to divide them among the members of their families. These points have to be made clear to them. It is also desirable to provide that when there is no member of the family of the original grantee capable of rendering the service, the Upadhi land should be treated as the Kudi land of the temple and should be considered as property to which in some remote manner

WD 0327—GPB—10,000—18 5-27

family has a right.

It is requested that these points may be considered and Govt: favoured with your views on them. ~~After the Govt~~ lines for disposing of these cases are settled

I have etc

A request with an expression of your views on the points referred to above may be forwarded by your Very early

In the meantime, we may also submit in case request when ~~Govt~~ ~~case~~ will be taken

W 27/3

27/3

Secy to Govt
WW - Gp 28/3

48-30

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 10th July 193 1

13

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To



Rajaseva Uravenda
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,

Mem 327-28-13

Financial *Department*

Re the leasing out of certain lands belonging to the temples at Melukote, Seringapatam Taluk.

SIR,

In forwarding herewith the connected correspondence noted in the margin -- namely the Commissioner's letter No. M.C.(CC)/30-31, dated 19th June 1931 I have the honour to invite your attention to Order No.A.No.14/30-30 confirming the Muzrai Commissioner's orders in regard to the disposal of the cattle lands said to stand in accordance with the instructions issued in this behalf the right of having the lease for 5 years was obtained for sale and the Amildar did conducted the sale by instructions. The present holders of the land have represented that they have long been in possession of the lands, that they are invested large sums of money in bringing the lands to their present condition and that at least as a matter of grace the old lessees should be given preference in the matter of renewal of the leases by 25%. The local officers have reported that as the lands are scattered in small distributed over six villages which are rather sparse populated it would not be possible to secure a number of the lands of other terms and have recommended the leasing out of the lands to the present holders as stipulated above. I do not see any reason to disagree with the proposals of the local officers and the period may be reduced to 15 years.

For total area of cultivable land of Melukote, 250 acres 5 guntas stand in the name of Sri Lakshmi-Narasimhaswamy. The total assessment of the above land is Rs. 111/7-0. According to the proposed arrangement there will be a total loss of

of Rs.367-13-5. As the local officers have reported that arrangement is not possible under the circumstances and as representation of the holders of the lands deserves sympathetic consideration I recommend that the proposals of the Deputy Commissioner may be kindly sanctioned.

A copy of his letter is forwarded herewith for information.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.Muz.C.2069/28-29 dated 19th May 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Bangalore.

52

---

(1) With reference to your Memo No.R.Dis.215/29-30, dated 24-4-30 forwarding a copy of the Financial Secretary's letter No.2014/Rev. 329-28-11 dated 1/2-4-1930 ordering the leasing out of katle lands endowed to Sri Lrammeswara devaru, Rameswara Devaru and Chintamani Narasimha Devaru temples at Kudli, Shimoga Taluk, I have the honour to forward herewith the correspondence ending with Shimoga Sub Division Officer's No.R3-C.1197-494/30-31 dated 9-2-31 and to state as --- follows:-

(2) The Amildar, Shimoga Taluk, reports that the katle lands have been in the possession of the present holders for a very long time and that in many cases their occupancy or lease has changed hands -- several times, through sale and otherwise and that if these lands -- are leased out to others, a great deal of hardship would be caused to the present holders, many of whom have spent large amounts in bringing these lands into a cultivable condition. It is added that even if it is attempted to sell the lease right, bidders can not be found to take up the land upon terms very different from these upon which they are now held.

(3) The present holders pray that the lands may not be leased out in auction but that they may be left in their possession on a 50 years lease, paying 4 annas in the rupee more than they are now paying. The Sub Division Officer, Shimoga Sub Division, recommends that the terms are fair and the above proposal may be accepted.

(4) Under the circumstances stated by the Amildar and the Sub --- Division Officer, Shimoga, the katle lands may be left in the possession of the present tenants for a period of 15 years on condition of their paying at the rate of 4 annas per rupee more and executing -- proper muchalikas to that effect.

(5) The connected records received from the Sub Division Officer, Shimoga with his letter No.R3.C.1197-494/30-31 dated 9-2-31 are --- herewith submitted for reference and return.

(6) As regards the investigation into the question of Upadikas of the temples as directed in Government Order No.2015/Muz.329-28-11 dated 1/2-4-1930 and sent with your letter No.1499/29-30 dated 8th May 1930, the Sub Division Officer's report is awaited. As soon as

15

his reply is received, a further communication will be matter.

"C o p y"

Yrcarascuga Rau

For Luzrai Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter No.1499/29-30 dated 13th July 1931 from the Muzrai Commissioner reporting that the total area of the Kutley lands under cultivation excluding Bana Banjar ones endowed to the Sri Bramheswara devaru, Rameswara devaru and Chintamani Narasimha devaru temples at Kundli Shimoga Taluk is 850 acres 5 guntas bearing an assessment of Rs.1385-8-8 and that the parties in possession of the lands have now been paying a migate of Rs.1471-6-4 and recommending sanction in the circumstances explained to the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga to lease out the lands to the present occupants for a period of 10 years as they have agreed to pay an increased migate to the temples i.e., Rs.367-13-5 more than they are paying at present.

Order No. 204-3 Ellaung-329-28-4 Enc. 1 fr 6

Dated, Bangalore, 4th August 1931.

The recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner is sanctioned.

V Sahasranama Sastri

For Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with records,

The Comptroller to Government.

App. F VI G-2]

JECT .....

.....

.....

SJ

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Sec. M43

File No: 329-28

Serial No. 14

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue ..... 5. 8. 31 .....

Signed by.... [illegible] V.V.S. Sastri ....

Read—

Correspondence ending
with letter No 1499/29-3
dt 13.4.1931 from the
M.C. reporting that the
total area under
cultivation excluding
Order Thereon No. Bagar lands endowed to the
devaru, Ramaswamy devaru Chatr
mani having a devaru
in Bramhaballi &
other lands at Kudli
Shimoga Tk is 83.0
acres 5 guntas bearing
an assessment of Rs 1385-8
and that the parties in possession
of the lands have remitted
paying a kist of Rs 1471-6

May this be

(i) Printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

And I concur recommending sanction
in the circumstances explained
to the proposal of the D.C.

Shimoga to lease out the lands
to the present occupants for a period
of 15 yrs as they have
agreed to pay an increased
rate to the temples ~~by 25 per Cent~~
ie Rs 367-13-5 more than they are paying
at present.

Order no 204-50 5/8/31 Encl: one file

The recommendation
of the DC is sanctioned

3.6.31

for Secy to Govt
H Dept

List of enclosure

To

The D.C with records
The Supdt

Q.S
2/8/31

Copied by

Examined by

WD 02560—GPB—11,250—2-7-30